

1 BRIAN STRETCH  
2 Acting United States Attorney,  
Under Authority  
3 Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515  
ALEX G. TSE (CA Bar No. 152348)  
Chief, Civil Division  
RAVEN M. NORRIS (CA Bar No. 232868)  
4 Assistant United States Attorney  
5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
6 Telephone: (415) 436-6915  
Facsimile: (415) 436-6748  
7 Email: Raven.Norris@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for the United States  
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 LUKE BRUGNARA,

17 Defendant.  
18

Case No. CR 08-222-001-WHA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S  
MOTION TO WITHDRAW OR  
OTHERWISE TERMINATE WRIT OF  
EXECUTION

19 Comes now the plaintiff, United States of America, by counsel, and moves the Court  
20 pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2) for an order withdrawing and otherwise terminating the  
21 execution proceeding currently pending before the Court. On May 23, 2013, The United States  
22 filed an Application for Writ of Execution ("Application") and requested that the Court issue a  
23 writ of execution authorizing the U.S. Marshals to seize and levy on certain valuable artwork in  
24 Defendant's possession. [Docket No. 186.] On July 8, 2013, the Court granted in part the  
25 United States' Application and authorized the seizure of nine identified paintings in the United  
26 States' Application. [Docket No. 202.] On July 22, 2013, the Court issued a Writ of Execution  
27 authorizing the U.S. Marshal to seize the nine identified paintings and to contract with an auction  
28 house, art dealer, or other agent to handle the seizure. [Docket No. 210.]

On August 9, 2013, pursuant to the Court’s Order and issued Writ of Execution, the U.S. Marshal, accompanied by its authorized contractor, seized seven of the nine paintings identified in the Writ.<sup>1</sup> [Docket No. 220.] Pursuant to this Court’s July 9, 2013 Order, the authorized contractor conducted an appraisal of the seized property. On August 15, 2013, the contractor informed the undersigned that the seized paintings did not have any significant value and appeared to be reproductions of original works.

Because the paintings do not appear to have any significant value and appear to be reproductions, the United States will no longer pursue a sale of the seized property. Further, the U.S. Marshal will arrange to have the seized property returned to the Defendant. As the United States will not pursue a sale of the seized property and the seized property will be returned to the Defendant, no further proceedings are necessary in this matter. Accordingly, the United States moves the Court for an order withdrawing and otherwise terminating the execution proceedings currently pending before the Court.

Dated: August 16, 2013

Respectfully Submitted,

BRIAN STRETCH  
Attorney for the United States,  
Acting Under Authority  
Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 5150

/s/ Raven M. Norris

---

RAVEN M. NORRIS  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

<sup>1</sup> The U.S. Marshal informed the undersigned that two paintings were not seized because the paintings were damaged. See also Notice of Levy, Docket No. 220.